### Approved For Release 2001/03/07: CIA-RDP96-00792R000700130001-6

References

Fancher, R.E. Psychoanalytic Psychology (The Development of Freud's Thought), W.W. Norton & Co., New York, 1973.

FRANK J. KELLY

Freud, S. The Ego and the [d (trans. Riviere, J.), W.W. Norton & Co., New York, 1960. Freud, S., Beyond the Pleasace Principle (trans. Strachey, J.), W.W. Norton & Co., New York,

Freud. S., Civilization and its Discontents, W.W. Norton & Co., New York, 1962. Freud. S., On Autobiographical Study (trans. Strachey, J.), W.W. Norton & Co., New York,

Freud, S., The Interpretation of Dreams (trans. Strache y, J.), Avon Books, New York, 1965a. Freud. S., New Introductory Lectures on Psychoanal sis (trans. Strachey, J.), W.W. Norton & Co., New York, 1965b.

Freud, S., Instincts and Their Vicissitudes. General Psychological Theory (Papers on Metapsychology) (trans. Baines, C.M.) Collier Freud, S., The Unconscious. Op. cit., 1966b. ooks, New York, 1966a.

Freud. S., Project for a Scientific Psychology Stan ard Edition of Complete Psychological Works of Sigmund Freud (trans. Strachey, J. Hogarth Press, London, 1968a.

Freud, S., The Interpretation of Dreams. Op. t., 5, 1968b.

Freud. S., Instincts and Their Vicissitudes. Papers on Metapsychology. Op. cit., 14, 1968c. Freud. S., The Unconscious. Papers on Metapsychology. Op. cit., 14, 1968d.

Freud. S., Beyond the Pleasure Principle. Of cit. 18, 1968e.

Freud. S., Group Psychology and the Analysis of differend, S., The Ego and the Id. Op. cit., 19, 1968g. sis of the Ego. Op. cit., 18, 1968f.

Freud, S., On Autobiographical Study. Of cit., 20, 1368h

Freud, S., The Question of Lay Analysis. Op. cit., 20, 1968i. Freud, S., Civilization and its Discontents. Op. cit., 21, 1968j.

, New York, 1969.

Freud. S., New Introductory Lectures of Psychoanalysis. Qp. cit., 22, 1968k. Freud. S., An Outline of Psychoanalysis. Op. cit., 23, 1968m. Freud. S., The Question of Lay Analysis, W.W. Norton & Co., New York, 19 Freud. S., The Origins of Psychoanalysis (trans. Mosbacher, E. Strachey, J.), E. V. Sch. 1977 Strachey, J.), Basic Books, New York, 1977

Freud. S., An Outline of Psychoanalysis (trans. Strachey, J.), W W. Norton & Co., New York, 1979a.

Freud, S., Group Psychology and the Analysis of the Ego (trans. Strachey, J.), W.W. Norton &

Co., New York, 1979b. Gill, M.M., Topography and Syste ns in Psychoanalytic Theory, Inter at, univ. Press, New York,

Ricoeur, P., Freud and Philosophy: An Essay on Interpretation (trans Savage, D.), Yale Univ. Press, New Haven, Con-

Sulloway, F.J., Freud, Biologist of the Mind (Beyond the Psychoanalytic Legend), Basic Books, New York, 1979.

Psychoenergetics, 1983, Vol. 5, pp. 235-242 0278-6060/83/0503-0235 \$18.50/0 © Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Inc., 1983 Printed in the United Kingdom

### The orbitals of consciousness. A neurosyntergic approach to the discrete levels of conscious experience

JACOBO GRINBERG-ZYLBERBAUM

Facultad de Psicologia, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad Universitaria, México D.F. 04510 MEXICO

Instituto Nacional Para el Estudio de la Conçiencia

A connection is traced from the behaviour of electrons existing only in particular locations (orbitals) around their nucleus, to discrete levels of conscious experience.

According to the syntergic theory, the structure of experience is the result of an interaction between an energetic field created by the brain (the neuronal field) and the energetic structure of space (the quantum field). Conscious experience appears when a central processor focuses this interaction. It is postulated that this focalization process can only arise in some discrete portions of the syntergic continuum, thus also activating discrete levels of conscious experience.

### 1. THEORETICAL INTRODUCTION

When the neuronal field (Grinberg-Zylberbaum, 1982) interacts with the quantum field (Capra, 1976) a hypercomplex energetic interference pattern is created (Grinberg-Zylberbaum, 1983). This interference pattern constitutes the energetic structure of perceptual experience. This energetic structure is not localized in space and, hence, its conscious appearance as an individual conscious experience requires a focalization operation. This focalization involves a new interaction between the interference pattern and the central processor responsible for activating a hypothetical directionality factor (Grinberg-Zylberbaum, 1981). The directionality factor stimulates a limited portion of the interference pattern, transforming its energetic structure into a qualitatively distinct perceptual experience. The purely energetic structure of the perceptual experience (the interference pattern in space) is thus transformed into the dimension of a vividly conscious experience. The central processor responsible the activity of the directionality factor is intimately

Approved For Release 2001/03/07 : CIA-RDP96-00792R000700130004:6

Self or Purusha (Ramana Maharshi, 1972; Vivekananda, 1975). related to the Self, or real observer, known in different traditions as the Being,

complexity. In contrast, in an organization of high syntergy, each one of connections between parts and unrestricted complexity (Grinbergits **g**ements contains high amounts of information of high coherence, rich information of high coherence, poor connections between parts and restricted energetic organization in which each of its elements contains small amounts of 19 (28). The extreme of low syntergy in this continuum is characterized by an integaction, are located in a syntergic continuum (Grinberg-Zylberbaum, Both the neuronal field and the quantum field, together with their

Zyfferbaum, 1981).

One or etically, it is possible to postulate that the pattern giving rise to the distete levels of interaction between the central processor and a non-discrete leves of conscious experience, these empirical observations imply the extrence of discrete levels in the creation of an interference pattern, or sysems of thought that have studied the appearance of consciousness (Varietananda, 1975; Epstein, 1978; Aurobindo, 1971) describe discrete en Egetic structure of experience appears throughout the syntergic continuum in an analogue, rather than in a discrete, form. Nevertheless, because the experience. intelference pattern, thus giving raise to quantized levels of conscious

### 2. HE ORBITALS OF CONSCIOUSNESS

ding iishes until, at an infinite distance from all objects, the minimal quantum of space is infinitesimal in dimension and contains coherent information relating all the universe. This hypothetical place in space would constitute the exteme of high syntergy — the Aleph (Borges, 1970). spetafic distance. As the distance increases, the dimension of the quantum about the moon would be the minimal quantum of space for the moon at that vol**o**me of space capable of containing the maximum visible information cortainer, energised to hold some quantity of information. Take, for example, the sible information of the moon seen from the earth's surface. The minimal standing the syntergic structure. Each location in space can be conceived as a abs action, the so-called minimal quantum of space, is of help in underdegree of concentration of the information contained in it. A mathematical In Race, the structure of the syntergic continuum is related to the varying

bipolar and later on in ganglionic cells, in which patterns of neuronal activity relatively dispersed information at the retinal receptors level, converges in convergent organization of the brain (Grinberg-Zylberbaum, 1978). In it, Similar, if not identical considerations, can be made in regard to the

> tertiary occipital cortex and later on in high integration polisensory structures, concentration of information takes place all along the primary, secondary and are concentrated in what can be called neuronal algorithms. The same neuronal algorithms high amounts of previously disconnected information. from where abstractions and language processes concentrate in coherent Thus, a neurosyntergic continuum can be postulated in the brain.

neurosyntergic functioning level of the brain. energetic field expands in space and incorporates in its structure the active all the neuronal interactions taking place inside the brain structure. This neuronal field with the quantum field. The neuronal field appears as a result of organization of space by the creation, expansion and interaction of the The neurosyntergic organization of the brain unites with the syntergic

with the quantum field, but all of us see one level of this interaction as the Nobody has ever recorded directly the neuronal field, nor its interactions

physical world that seems to surround us. This perceptual world is just one level of the neuronal-quantum field interaction. Other levels are the percentional, tactile, aural and the other qualitatively distinct modes of our percentions of the neuronal percention. Other levels are the percention is not a clear the same frequency of laser light used to create the holographic interference pattern is needed to recreate the Chaotic one. There must be a frequency congruence in order to obtain a chaotic one. There must be a frequency congruence in order to obtain a forganization of space in order to recreate a distinct level and quality of experience whilst organization of space in order to create a coherent interference pattern. If, organization of space in order to create a coherent interference pattern. If, organization of space in order to create a coherent interference pattern. If, or evample, the syntergic level of space is greater than the neurosyntergic of level of the neuronal field, the central processor would give rise to an image of the neuronal field is able to change its levels over a continuum. The same is true of the quantum field. The central processor interacts without of experience behave in a discrete fashion is that the interaction between percence behave in a discrete fashion is that the interaction between on the percence of the share a similar syntergic level. The orbitals of consciousness of the contract of the

extreme example of this correspondence is unitary consciousness. This level neuronal field corresponds with some syntergic level of the quantum field. An correspond to these permitted levels of interaction where the syntergy of the of consciousness will be treated later on in some detail. Here, it is enough to

-00792R000700130001-6

with the Aleph. In other words, when the neuronal field is able to interact unit the Aleph. In other words, when the neuronal field combines with the quantum field at the highest syntergic level that the latter is able to reach. In unitary consciousness, the neuronal and the quantum fields regain their riginal nature by becoming one indivisible field.

The CENTRAL PROCESSOR

Recent experimental evidence (Grinberg-Zylberbaum, 1983) indicates that the neuronal field is able to interact with a crystalline structure whose lattice mensions are of the order of the wavelength of x-rays. This finding is the part of the physical characteristics of the neuronal field is able to the physical characteristics of the neuronal field is a structure. Greld. It suggests that the neuronal field is able to vibrate at the frequency of px-rays, but it does not say that this is the limit of the frequencies that the peuronal field is able to reach.

If, as was said before, unitary consciousness implies an identity between the

must be much higher than the ones associated with X-rays. In fact, these limits are not calculable for unitary consciousness, because the frequencies that can are reached by the quantum field are not bounded. If the central processor's function is to transform the purely energetic structure of experience into conscious experience, the nature of the central processor must be such that it is to include the energetic structure of the interference pattern within itself, Anighest syntergic quantum field level (the Aleph) and the highest neuronal Vield level, the limits for the frequencies that the neuronal field is able to reach If, as was said before, unitary consciousness implies an identity between the

An ighest syntergic level. If this is so, the possibility of a non-physical nature for the central processor must be considered seriously.

The central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to transcend the possibly infinite level of frequency of vibration that the quantum Teledraches in the Aleph. In other words, if the central processor belongs to a considered seriously. Inon-physical reality, then it would be conceivable that it could transcend the

How and where a non-physical universe.

How and where a non-physical reality is able to interact with a physical one, because the central processor and the interference pattern) is unable to exists between the central processor and the interference pattern) is unable to solve. The same mystery arises in the kabalistic formulation which states that discrete spheres of consciousness (sephirot) in which we human beings live God sends emanations from his being which illuminate and give life to the

interaction between neuronal and quantum fields has a complex structure, the The central processor can be conceived as pure consciousness. When the

> with forms and details. When the interaction reaches its maximum syntergiq central processor transforms this energetic structure into an image replete

level and becomes homogeneous, the central processor experiences everyching as a reflection of itself and thus the experience that is activated is unitary consciousness.

Between each one of the qualitatively different modalities of perceptuate experience (sound, light etc.) and unitary consciousness, several orbitals of consciousness exist. Still, consciousness remains unchanged in all the orbitals of consciousness exist. Still, consciousness remains unchanged in all the orbitals of consciousness exist. Still, consciousness. This content is determined by brain activity because the neuronal field is more stable than the quantum field and the syntergic level (of the neuronal field) is determined by the particular and specific level of brain activity. Instead, the quantum field varies its syntergic level over the whole continuum that its syntergy can cover. In fact, the whole syntergic continuum of the quantum field coexists simultaneously in spaces Also, the central processor always remains the same because, belonging to non-physical reality, its activity does not depend on any syntergic level of that, in the absence of brain activity (after death), the central processor is still that the central processor is still the central processor. able to interact, but now not with the energetic structure of experience (the

the quantum fields), but only with the 'bare' quantum field.

The syntergic level with which, after death, the central processor is still about interact, will depend on at which level of brain activity the individual was able to function while alive and hence, what level of consciousness he was about or reach. If the individual was able to experience unitary consciousness, his post mortem content of consciousness will be pure consciousness. The consciousness of Being does not need any interaction bwtween the central processor and the quantum field in order to exist.

What determines the level of consciousness in which a human being function by the process of the level of consciousness in which a human being function by the process of the level of consciousness in which a human being function by the process of the level of consciousness in which a human being function by the process of the level of consciousness in which a human being functions and the level of consciousness in which a human being functions are processed in the process of the level of consciousness in which a human being functions and the level of consciousness in which a human being functions are processed in the process of the level of consciousness in which a human being functions are processed in the process of the level of consciousness in which a human being functions are processed in the process of the process of the level of consciousness in the level of the level of the process of the process of the level of the l

physical aspects. tions is a question that needs consideration from both the psychological and

4. PHYSICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Prince Louis de Broglie (Beiser, 1968) confronted, in physics, the problem the existence of discrete orbitals in the atom. His solution was extraordinarily only when the perimeter of an orbital is an exact multiple of this wavelength, elegant. He stated that each electron has an associated wavelength and that does the electron not disappear from the orbital.

Forbidden orbitals are those whose lengths are not an exact multiple of the

ORBITALS OF CONSCIOUSNESS

electron's wavelength. In these, the electron suffers a self interference wave

Frictly speaking, there is only one energetic field and thus unitary consciousGess should be the most natural if not the only level of consciousness. In it, the
Michotomy between the idea of the existence of a physical versus a nonMichotomy between the idea of the perception of an all-encompassing and
Michotomy being living in unitary consciousness. In it, the
Muminated human being living in unitary consciousness sees everything as
Mither the rest of us do not live in
Minitary consciousness because we are not pure enough and our neuronal fields
Mollute the neuronal and quantum field interactions. We are the ones that
Mivide and dichotomize the One consciousness into compartments and

Antagonistic to one another and thus their unification in higher syntergic chatterns and algorithms became impossible. What could be a new and more powerful syntergic level, degenerates into a low syntergic pattern in which conterference, lack of organisation and poor connections between parts arbstruct the achievement of unity. To live in a 'forbidden' level of conscious-fless is the result of these self interference processes. The forbidden levels are the interfaces between orbitals and, in them, open energetic irradiation and construction processes are the characteristic experiences. The 'sufferer' in a dinterface feels himself to be a product of external influences which are beyond the cymatic (Jenny, 1974) interactions between fields and structures, and the Cymatics (1974) is a relatively new experimental approach, in which the patterns that result from an interaction of vibrating fields with structures, are the grequency of the sound at some specific frequency interacts with a metallic plate on which fine powder is located, the powder acquires the form of a pattern. If the constitution of the sound is increased, the pattern becomes more complicated the pattern becomes three-dimensional. If the quantum field is conceived as a contract of the sound is increased, the pattern becomes more complicated as a contract of the sound is increased. Self interference processes appear in the realm of consciousness when the **Q**ivisions which we impose on the world resist unification by ourselves into **Q**ives wholes. It is as if disperse experiences, each with its own life, became

cymatic like patterns. On the other hand, in esoteric psychology, it is said that patterns, the differing levels of consciousness could be related to discrete structure with which the vibrating neuronal field interacts, creating cymatic man has different energetic bodies (Wilson, 1974). These bodies are related to

> stable cymatic pattern. If this is so, some masters (Wilson, 1974) were able to discrete levels of consciousness. Perhaps, what is called 'energetic body' is a visualize what is, for the rest of us, invisible cymatic-syntergic interactions. 4

I believe that man is in a constant state of evolution towards higher syntergic levels of functioning, pointing to unitary and the Being conscious ness. In this evolution, real suffering is a state of dichotomy and lack of unification. When some contents of experience are dissociated from the focalization action of the directionality factor of the central processor, the individual is internally divided and in a state of pain, tension and imbalance. If on the contrary, he is able to accept all his experiences as real and as a genuine part of himself, he permits his convergent codifiers to unify everything withing processor into an integrated and coherent self-conscious experience. The secret of achieving unification and high syntergic levels of consciousness in total coefficients.

We live in a very complex world in which we are stimulated by powerful information fields. The interaction of these fields create new levels of

In physics it is observed that, when an atom interacts with a magnetic field new spectral lines appear. This phenomenon is called the Zeeman effects (Beiser, 1968) and is similar to the new experiences that we were discussing.

In fact, when the laws of consciousness are compared with the behaviour of lefementary particles, the feeling is that these two extremes touch each other. How is it possible that such a complex phenomenon as consciousness behave in a similar way to atomic particles? The similarity implies that both realms are a manifestation of One reality. a manifestation of One reality.

change in consciousness occurs and the mind of the observer is still in an interface between orbitals. The individual then feels as if he were simule taneously in two levels of consciousness and in none of them and, as we have said, during this process he is open to receive or radiate energy. Other examples of these simil are the radiation or absorption of energy from and to an atom when the electrons change from one to another orbital (Beiser, 1968) and similar energetic interchanges when a subject is in an interface between the orbitals of consciousness. During their quantum jumpar the electrons behave as if they were simultaneously in two orbitals (Beiser 1968). In the realm of consciousness, something similar happens when L

## THE NATURE OF THE CENTRAL PROCESSOR

If the central processor is the Self, it is included within every process and

**\textit{q}** It is not affected by thought, emotion, pleasure or pain because it is part of its nature to be able to testify all these changes in mind's activity without changing or losing its capacity to observe them. thought of the mind. In fact, the central processor is the observer of the mind.

Omiraculous happenings standing vulticum. 2.

Othe same time forming part of an immense and all-encompassing pattern of the Rrelationships. To the question about the individual or collective nature of the 2 central processor, nobody can give a final answer, but intuition feels that the 7 observer in each one of us is the One Observer, the self in each one of us the OOne Self and the central processor in each one of us the One Central Processor. kind of unchangeable silence from whence experiences appear and are seen as and all relative and temporal changes in mind activity and becomes part of a When a human being identifies himself with the Self, he transcends every

P96 no shape or form. Dabide in any space, is atemporal and belongs to a non physical reality and has To conclude, it is possible to postulate that the central processor does not

### . References

Aurobindo, S., La Vida Divina, Editorial Kier, Buenos Aires, 1971.
Beiset, A., Conceptos de Física Moderna, McGraw Hill, Madrid, 1968.
Beorges, J.L., El Aleph, EMECE, Buenos Aires, 1970.
Cauffield, H.J., Lu Sun, The Application of Holography, Wiley Interscience, U.S.A., 1970.
Capra, F., The Tao of Physics, Fontana, U.S.A., 1976.
Capra, F., Kabbalah, Doubleday, New York, 1978.
Crinberg-Zylberbaum, J., The retrieval of learned information. A neurophysiological convergence-divergence theory. J. Theoret. Biol., 56, 95-110, 1976.
Grinberg-Zylberbaum, J., El Espacio y la Conciencia, Editorial Trillas México, 1981.
Grinberg-Zylberbaum, J., Psychophys. Sys., 4, 227-256, 1982.
Grinberg-Zylberbaum, J., Extraocular vision. J. Psychophys. Sys., 5, 141-158, 1983.
Jenny, H., Cymatics, Basilius Presse Basel, 1974.
Maharishi, R., Talks with Sri Ramana Maharishi, Sri Ramanasramam Tiruvannamalai, India, 1972.

Approveֻd<sub>∠</sub>F livekananda, S., Raya Yoga, Kier, Buenos Aires, 1963, 1975 Lo Oculto, Editorial Noguer, Barcelona, 1974

> Psychoenergetics, 1983, Vol. 5, pp. 243-252 0278-6060/83/0503-0243 \$18.50/0 Printed in the United Kingdom © Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Inc., 1983

# Research notes and comments

-00792R000700130001-6

collapse Scientific explanation of wave vector

D.F. LAWDEN

that his approach to the problem of wave vector collapse is logically consistent. He fails to meet mycriticism that he has no scientific explanation of the problem of wave vector collapse is logically consistent. In his reply (Villars, 1983) to my research note (Lawden, 1983) on the role observing instruments in quantum theory, Villars does little more than argether.

to which the Schrödinger evolution law applies, and (ii) a much smaller class of observing instruments whose behaviour is governed by other laws. Admits that he is unable to separate these classes by appeal to any physical criterion and falls back on the definition that an observing instrument in physical system which behaves as an observing instrument. However, such an instrument only behaves in this manner in very special circumstances, volument interacts with the specific type of class-(i) system it is designed for measure in all other circumstances, it behaves like an orthodox class-(ii) system when it interacts with photods system. Thus, a polarizer is a class-(ii) system when it interacts with photods mysterious! belonging to a properly positioned incident beam, but its behaviour in all other circumstances (e.g. when it is heated) is that of a class-(ii) system. Very According to his interpretation d states that such an instrument is recognizable by the circumstance tha structions as required of such an instrument by the axioms of quantum theorem. observing instrument can be Alstinguished from all other physical systems, physical system, (i) a latger class comprising the generality of physical systems Thus, to meet my charge What he fails to provide a principle by which an f the theory, then, there are two classes

eschew occult elements as far as possible. Thus, if it were established that al such a theory is to provide an acceptable explanation of the world, it must logically unassailable, this is not the only requirement of a scientific theory. If babies born on a certain day of the year were more likely to become actors than Even though Villars may be able to establish that this interpretation is